Recalcitrance and Tipping Points in Recovery from Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay

W. M. Kemp¹, J. Testa¹, J. Hagy², W. R. Boynton³

¹University of Maryland CES, HPL ²US EPA, GED, Gulf Breeze, FL, USA ³University of Maryland CES, CBL

Presentation at AAAS Meeting Chicago, Illinois 15 February 2009



Outline

Background & Motivation

-Hypoxia linked to eutrophication -Understand hypoxia response to nutrients

Chesapeake Bay Hypoxia Trends & Controls

-Chesapeake Bay Background -Hypoxia & Nutrient Trends

• Hypoxia-Nutrient "Regime shift" in Chesapeake Bay?

-Response trajectories -Possible explanation: Enhanced N-Recycling

Concluding Comments

Global-Scale Spread of Coastal Hypoxia



- Global distribution of coastal hypoxia
- Hypoxia concentrated near intense human activities
- Global spread of hypoxia related to eutrophication
- Other processes (e.g., climate change) also important

Chesapeake Bay Physical Features

- Large ratio of watershed to estuarine area (~ 14:1)
- Deep channel is seasonally stratified
- Broad shallows flank channel (mean Z = 6.5m)
- Relatively long water residence time (~ 6 mo)



Stratification Control of Hypoxia



(Hagy 2002)

Trend in Bay Summer Hypoxia Volume (1950-2004)



- Exponential increase, w/ strongest change since 1980
- Interannual variability driven by high and low river flow

Volume of Summer Hypoxia Related to River Flow and N Loading: Regime Shift in Early 1980s

• Volumes of summer hypoxia (< 1 mg/L) and anoxia (< 0.5 mg/L) related to winter-spring river flow.

- Abrupt increase in slope of hypoxia-nitrate relation for 1950-1980 and 1980-2003 (hypoxia per NO₃ Load)
- What factors drive this abrupt regime shift?

(Hagy et al. Estuar. & Coast. 2004, Kemp et al. MEPS. 2005)



Response of Hypoxia to Nutrient Remediation?



(modified after Duarte et al. 2008)

Interannual Variations in River Flow: Selecting Years within 1 SD



Focusing on Years of Intermediate River Flow





• To reduce inter-annual variance, we analyzed only years with intermediate flow (mean ± SE).

• From 1960–2006, both NO₃-Load and Hypoxia increase steadily

• Hypoxia increases more rapidly than NO₃-Loading

• Hypoxia volume per NO₃-Load relatively constant until 1980.

Shifts-up in mid-1980's and remains high through early 2000s

Bay Hypoxia Response Trajectories for Changes in Nitrogen Loading



- •Visualize response trajectories and regime shifts
- •Shift-up to new Upper Regime in 1980 with more Hypoxia per N-Load
- •Recent apparent down-shift to Lower Regime (initial recovery?)

Potential Explanations for Observed Shift in Relationship between Hypoxia & N-Loading

- Loss of oyster grazing on phytoplankton
- Loss of seagrass & marsh "nutrient trapping"
- Climate-induced changes (temperature, circulation)
- Enhanced nutrient recycling efficiency under low O₂

Conceptual Model of O₂ Interactions with N-Cycle



(J. Testa & M. Kemp 2009)

Decadal Change in July Distribution of [NH₄+]



(Rebecca Murphy, JHU. unpublished)

Hypoxia Enhancement of Benthic Nutrient (NH₄+) Recycling Efficiency



- DIN 'Recycling Efficiency' (NRE) is flux ratio (DIN/(DIN + N₂)
- NRE increases w/ decreasing O₂ because of nitrification inhibition
- Thus, DIN recycling higher under hypoxic conditions.

(J. Cornwell data from Kemp et al. MEPS. 2005)

Significant Shift in Bottom Water NH₄ Pools Since Early 1980s



•Bottom-water NH₄ pools generally increase with TN loading.

• In early 1980s the size of the bottom NH₄ pools increased (>2x) abruptly

•Biogeochemical change (hypoxia, macrofauna?)

Changes in Bay's Bottom Water NH₄ with Nutrient Loading and Hypoxia



• TN-loading increases until mid-1980s, then fluctuates & declines

• Anoxia volume fluctuates, but increases steadily into 2000s.

•Bottom-water NH₄ pool per N-load fluctuations & jumps up in 1980s

Hypoxia Response to Changes in N-Load



Concluding Comments

- Coastal Hypoxia is Global Problem Associated with Eutrophication
- Chesapeake Bay may be Particularly Susceptible to Hypoxia
- Chesapeake Hypoxia has Grown with Increasing Nutrient Loading; an abrupt Increase occurred in Hypoxia/N-load in early 1980s
- It appears that Hypoxia-Enhanced N-recycling has Contributed to this "Regime Shift" and/or the Recalcitrance for Restoration
- There may be Reason for "Cautious Optimism" for Hypoxia Recovery; possibly, a "Shift-Down" to Lower Regime with Less Hypoxia per N-Load